



AGENDA

SELECTION AND MEMBER SERVICES COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 27 January 2010 at 10.00 am Ask for: **Andrew Tait**
Wantsum Room, Sessions House, County Telephone: **01622 694342**
Hall, Maidstone

UNRESTRICTED ITEMS

(During these items the meeting is likely to be open to the public)

1. Substitutes
2. Declarations of Interests by Members in items on the Agenda for this meeting.
3. Minutes - 4 December 2009 (Pages 1 - 4)
4. The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (Pages 5 - 12)
5. "Listening to Communities": Consultation on the draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions (Pages 13 - 60)
6. Kent Children's Trust (Pages 61 - 66)
7. Appointments to Kent Savers Shadow Board (Pages 67 - 68)
8. Other items which the Chairman decides are Urgent

EXEMPT ITEMS

(At the time of preparing the agenda there were no exempt items. During any such items which may arise the meeting is likely NOT to be open to the public)

Peter Sass
Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership
(01622) 694002

Tuesday, 19 January 2010

Please note that any background documents referred to in the accompanying papers maybe inspected by arrangement with the officer responsible for preparing the relevant report.

This page is intentionally left blank

KENT COUNTY COUNCIL

SELECTION AND MEMBER SERVICES COMMITTEE

MINUTES of a meeting of the Selection and Member Services Committee held in the Wantsum Room, Sessions House, County Hall, Maidstone on Friday, 4 December 2009.

PRESENT: Mrs P A V Stockell (Chairman), Mr D L Brazier, Mr N J D Chard, Mr L Christie, Mrs T Dean, Mrs S V Hohler, Mr A J King, MBE, Mr K G Lynes and Mr R A Marsh

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr G Wild (Director of Law and Governance), Mr P Sass (Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership), Mrs M Cooper (Member Liaison Manager) and Mr A Tait (Democratic Services Officer)

UNRESTRICTED ITEMS**31. Minutes - 13 October 2009**

(Item 3)

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the meeting held on 13 October 2009 are correctly recorded and that they be signed by the Chairman.

32. Changes to the Constitution

(Item 4)

- (1) The Committee made a number of amendments to the proposed draft Constitution which are set out below, giving the page numbers from the agenda papers:-
 - (a) the incorporation in the Executive Summary (Pages 17/18) of an explanation that whenever the masculine form of a title is used (e.g. "Spokesman"), this also includes the feminine.
 - (b) Page 18 last paragraph: replace "and Scrutiny Committees" with "and Scrutiny Committee suite."
 - (c) Page 7, paragraph 2 (1): "After answering questions, the Cabinet Member, Deputy Cabinet Member and Officers may remain but should not normally be invited to speak during debate (except for the purposes of clarification) unless it seems likely the Committee will be proposing an action on which they have not commented and on which advice is either sought or should be given in order to protect the Council's interests."
 - (d) Page 93 Paragraph 4.7: Removal of the last sentence.
 - (e) Page 129 Paragraph 7: Re-inclusion of "Cabinet Scrutiny Committee" in place of "Scrutiny Board."

(f) Page 119 Paragraph 8.18: replace "Cabinet Scrutiny Committee with "Scrutiny Board."

(g) Page 135 Flow Chart: An explanation that the Local Member will continue to be informed and consulted if a change of substance is proposed.

(2) In respect of the budget process, the Committee confirmed that the meaning of the Constitution was that any item that appeared in the Cabinet papers or was the subject of a Cabinet Member decision was in the remit of the Cabinet Scrutiny Committee.

(3) The Committee confirmed that it would be content for the Labour and Independent Members to attend and be invited to speak at meetings of the Scrutiny Board.

(4) The Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership agreed to write to the Managing Directors to remind them of the need to inform Members of Officer decisions.

(5) Mr A J King gave a commitment that the operational effectiveness of the Policy Overview Scrutiny Committee suite would be reviewed in the second half of 2010.

(6) RESOLVED that subject to (1) to (3) above: -

(a) the consequential amendments to the Constitution as set out in Appendix 1 be recommended to the County Council for approval;

(b) the amendments to the Constitution and the endorsements requested in paragraph 2 be recommended to the County Council for approval;

(c) the Protocol for the Councillor Call for Action as set out in Appendix 3 be recommended to the County Council for approval;

(d) the Protocol for the operation of the Crime and Disorder Committee as set out in Appendix 4 be recommended to the County Council for approval;

(e) it be noted that the Policy Overview and Co-ordinating Committee had endorsed the Policy Framework and the attached revised version of the Policy Framework at Appendix 5 be recommended to the County Council for approval; and

(f) the work being undertaken to refresh the protocols on the Overview and Scrutiny of Health across Kent and the Overview and Scrutiny Inter Authority Co-operation be noted.

33. Appointment of Champions and to Outside Bodies

(Item 5)

(1) The Committee noted and confirmed the additional Champions set out in (2) below.

(2) RESOLVED that:-

(a) the following Champions be confirmed with the Terms of Reference set out in paragraph 3 of the report:-

- (i) Armed Forces Community: Mr L B Ridings;
- (ii) Older Persons': Mr M J Angell
- (iii) Younger Persons': Mrs A D Allen;
- (iv) Cycling: Mr D L Brazier;
- (v) Heritage: Mr D L Brazier; and
- (vi) Member: Mrs P A V Stockell;

(b) Mr B J Sweetland be appointed as the County Council's Elected Member Director on the Boards of Kent County trading Ltd; and

(c) Mr R Frayne as the Local member for Dover Town be appointed as a Trustee to the Dover Painted House Trust.

34. Member accommodation

(Item 6)

(1) Mrs T Dean asked for her objection to the accommodation offered to the Liberal Democrat Group be recorded. In particular, she considered that there should be separate rooms for the Group Members and the officers serving the Group.

(2) RESOLVED that further investigation be undertaken into the feasibility of fitting high intensity bulbs in the Members' Room.

This page is intentionally left blank

By: Alex King, Deputy Leader
Peter Sass, Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

To: Selection and Member Services Committee
27 January 2009

Subject: The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009.

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: This reports sets out key issues arising from the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Constitution Act 2009 for Members consideration/information.

Introduction

1. (1) This Act received Royal Assent on 12 November 2009 and there is a phased implementation of its provisions. Regulations and guidance are likely to be issued to support this legislation over the coming months. This report gives an outline of the main elements of the Act that are relevant to KCC and indicates any known timescales for commencement of the provisions.

(2) The main provisions of the Act relate to:

- Duties relating to the promotion of Democracy
- Petitions to Local Authorities
- Local freedoms and honorary titles
- Politically restricted posts
- Aspects of Overview and Scrutiny
 - Designation of a Scrutiny Officer
 - Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committees
- Powers to establish mutual insurance arrangements
- Audit requirements
- Local Government Boundary Commission for England
- Local authority economic assessments
- Regional Strategy
- Economic Prosperity Boards and Combined Authorities
- Multi-Area Agreements

New duties

Duties relating to the Promotion of Democracy

2 (1) This places a duty on Local Authorities to promote understanding of the opportunities that exist for members of the public to get involved in, and influence the work of Local Authorities and other local public bodies.

- (2) An understanding of the following needs to be promoted by KCC:
- KCC's functions and its democratic arrangements, how members of the public can take part in those arrangements and what taking part is likely to involve
 - the role of councillors, how to become one and the support that is available to councillors to assist them in their role
 - public bodies which relate to the authority's area (referred to as 'connected authorities', e.g. health, police, fire, probation, schools, parish councils, etc), i.e. what these bodies do and their democratic arrangements and how members of the public can take part in those arrangements and what taking part is likely to involve
 - the work of the police force as a whole, including "neighbourhood policing" and "the policing pledge" which sets out both locally and nationally the standards the public can expect from the police
 - understanding of courts boards, independent monitoring boards for prisons, immigration removal centres and Youth Offending Teams and to provide information about what these bodies do, how to take part in their work and what this is likely to involve
 - the role and responsibilities of lay justices, how a member of the public can become a lay justice and what the role involves.

(3) The Act places a requirement on County Councils in two tier areas to require information from "connected authorities" (e.g. Police, Health Authorities etc) at least once a year and to pass information received to District Councils so that they can also promote these bodies.

(4) There is provision for guidance to be produced on how Councils should fulfil this duty. This guidance will be the subject of consultation. There is currently no indication of when the guidance will be published or when this provision will come into force.

(5) Members will be aware that the authority is looking to implement the recommendations arising from the Accessing Democracy Select Committee report approved by the County Council in December 2008. Several of the recommendations which are already being implemented have an impact on these legislative provisions.

Petitions to Local Authorities:

(6) The Act requires all local authorities to make, publicise and comply with a scheme for handling both paper and electronic petitions. The intention is to make local decision-making in relation to petitions more transparent, by requiring Local Authorities to respond to petitions and making the response to petitions publicly available.

(7) KCC does not currently have a formal petitions scheme although there are informal schemes in operation for specific areas such as petitions relating to planning matters. The Chairman of the County Council will also receive petitions from time to time, which are reported as part of the Chairman's announcements at County Council meetings.

- (8) The petition scheme under the Act must give residents:
- the right to a public response if they sign a local petition (both paper and electronic)
 - the ability to trigger a County Council debate on their issue (subject to there being the required number of signatures, the figure to be set by the County Council)
 - the ability to trigger a senior officer to give evidence at an overview and scrutiny committee meeting (subject to there being the required number of signatures, the figure to be set by the County Council)
 - the ability to appeal to the councils overview and scrutiny committee (in the first instance this is likely to be the Scrutiny Board) if they feel that the response from the authority is not adequate.

(9) The Act sets out a number of requirements which the Councils petitions scheme must include these are:

- the petition scheme must relate to a function of the authority or relate to an improvement in the economic, social or environmental well-being of the authority's area to which any of its partner authorities could contribute
- It must be signed by the requisite number of people who live, work or study in the area
- petitions must be acknowledged in writing within a certain period of time, and set out what the authority proposes to do with the petition, if known at that stage
- if the petition is made electronically it must comply with the Councils e-petition scheme.

(10) The Act sets out the following possible steps a Council may take to address a valid petition which may be included in the petition scheme but it will be for the local authority to decide how to respond to the petition.

- Give effect to the request in the petition
- consider the petition at a meeting of the authority
- hold an inquiry
- hold a public meeting
- undertake research into the matter
- give a written response to the petition organiser setting out the authority's views about the request in the petition
- refer the petition to an overview and scrutiny committee.

(11) In relation to e-petitions there are a number of providers of these systems on the market including the company that provide KCC's Committee Management System (modern.gov) and the company that currently provide the webcast facility (public-i). The modern.gov system will be used to host KCC's e-petition facility as the system can be implemented quickly at no extra cost (other than officer time) as it is part of the current package and would be supported under the existing maintenance contract.

(12) A consultation document was published on 2 December 2009 (closing date 24 February 2010). This is the subject of a separate report to this

Committee. The consultation seeks views on various matters including when the provisions relating to petitions and e-petitions should come into force.

Honorary titles:

(13) The Act gives County Councils the power to confer the title “honorary freeman” or “honorary freewoman” on persons of distinction or persons who have rendered eminent services to the local area. Also there is a power to confer the title “honorary alderwoman” rather than ‘honorary alderman’ on former members of the council who have rendered eminent services

(14) This provision came into force on 12 January 2010.

Politically restricted posts:

(15) The Local Government and Housing Act 1989 requires every local authority to prepare and maintain a list of politically restricted posts and identifies three categories of politically restricted posts. There are:

- specific posts such as chief officers, which are all politically restricted without a right to appeal for exemptions
- sensitive posts, where the role involves giving advice to elected Members or speaking on behalf of the authority:
- posts paid at or above a certain level (currently £36,730).

(16) Local government officers who hold a politically restricted post may not stand for election or take part in a range of political activities.

(17) The 2009 Act removes the requirement to politically restrict a post that exceeds a specific salary and does not fall into any of the other two categories.

(18) This provision came into force on 12 January 2010

Overview and Scrutiny

(19) The Act requires local authorities (with the exception of District Councils), operating executive arrangements to designate one of their officers as their Scrutiny Officer and prescribes the following functions for this officer:-

- to promote the role of and provide support to the overview and scrutiny committee suite
- to provide support and guidance to elected Members, including Member of the Executive and officers on the functions of the County Councils overview and scrutiny suite.

(20) The following officers cannot be designated as the authorities “Scrutiny Officer”:

- the Head of the Paid Service (Chief Executive)
- the Monitoring Officer (Director of Law and Governance)
- the Chief Finance Officer (Director of Finance)

(21) The Committee is asked to recommend to the County Council that the Overview, Scrutiny and Localism Manager be designated as Kent County Councils Scrutiny Officer.

(22) This provision will come into force on 1 April 2010

(23) The Act also allows any two or more authorities to appoint a joint overview and scrutiny committee to consider any matter (other than crime and disorder matters which are excluded as there is a separate provision for this under the Police and Justice Act 2006). This replaces the provision in the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 which limited the scope and nature of such joint committees to issues relating to LAA targets.

(24) Although this provision came into force on 12 January 2010, regulations are expected to be published to cover the conditions or limitations for the operation of these Committees and also to make provision as to the information that must be provided to a joint committee. The advice from the Department for Communities and Local Government is to await the regulations before setting up a joint committee under this legislation.

Powers to set up mutual insurance arrangements

(25) The Act enables local authorities and a number of other public bodies to set up mutual insurance arrangements.

(26) This provision will come into force on a date to be announced

Audit of bodies connected with local authorities

(27) Under the Act the Audit Commission may appoint an auditor to an entity (e.g. a company, a limited liability partnership, or an industrial and provident society) connected to one or more local authorities and for the auditor to issue a public interest report.

(28) This provision will come into force on a date to be announced

Local Government Boundary Commission for England

(29) This provision establishes a new body, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, and transfers to it the functions of the Boundary Committee for England (with some modifications) and removes the responsibility from the Electoral Commission for this process.

(30) Some of the changes under this provision are in force others will commence on 1 April 2010.

Local authority economic assessments

(31) The Act places a duty on each County and Unitary Council to prepare an assessment of the economic conditions in its area. County Councils are required to consult with District Councils who are required in turn to co-operate with County Councils in the preparation of the assessment.

(32) A report was submitted to the meeting of the Regeneration and Economic Development Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 12 November 2009 which set out the likely opportunities and challenges for KCC arising from the Bill and the proposed approach to taking forward the Local Economic Assessment jointly with Medway Council and in partnership with the Kent Districts.

(33) This provision came into force on 17 December 2009.

Regional Strategy

(34) The Act provides for all English regions, except London, to set up a "Leaders' Board", as a means for local authorities to act collectively at a regional level. County and District Councils which must make and consult on a scheme for establishing and operating a Leaders' Board, this scheme must be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval. The Leaders' Board and Regional Development Agency are jointly responsible for reviewing, implementing and monitoring the regional strategy which includes economic development and land use strategies for the region. The Regional Strategy takes the place of the regional spatial strategy and the Regional Economic Strategy for the area.

(35) This provision will come into force on 1 April 2010.

Economic Prosperity Boards (EPB's) and Combined Authorities

(36) The Act allows the Secretary of State to create combinations of authorities working together on Economic Development Boards. The majority of members of the EPB will be elected Members of its constituent authorities. Only elected Members will have voting rights.

(37) In the Regeneration Framework, KCC has made a commitment to pursuing an EPB. Establishing this is likely to require a review of existing economic partnership arrangements at county-wide level, as well as further analysis of the roles that the EPB (or equivalent body) would undertake.

(38) The majority of these provisions came into force on 17 December 2009. On 12 January 2010 the provisions which confer powers on the Secretary of State to make orders in relation to EPB's and combined authorities came into force.

Multi-Area Agreements (MAAs)

(39) The Act makes statutory arrangements for MAAs which are agreements between two or more local authorities and certain partner authorities. They are approved by the Secretary of State and specify improvement targets for improvement in the economic, social and environmental well-being of the area or part of the area covered by the agreement.

(40) This provision will come into force on a date to be announced. There is provision for guidance to be produced on how Councils should fulfil this duty. This guidance will be the subject of consultation. There is currently no indication of when the draft guidance will be published.

3. Recommendation

RECOMMENDED that the report be noted and that the County Council be requested to designate the Overview, Scrutiny and Localism Manager as Kent County Councils Scrutiny Manager in accordance with Section 31 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009.

Peter Sass
Tel No: 01622 694002
e-mail: peter.sass@kent.gov.uk

Background Information: None

This page is intentionally left blank

By: Alex King, Deputy Leader
Peter Sass, Head of Democratic Services and Local
Leadership

To: Selection and Member Services Committee
27 January 2009

Subject: "Listening to Communities: Consultation on the draft
statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions"

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: This reports sets out key issues in the consultation document "Listening to Communities: Consultation on the draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions" an Informal Member Group (IMG) is being established to prepare a draft response.

1. Introduction

(1) A consultation on the draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions was published by the Department for Communities and Local Government on 2 December 2009. The closing date for responses is 24 February 2010.

(2) The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 places a duty on local authorities to respond to petitions, both written and electronic. The aim of this consultation is to gather feedback on the draft statutory guidance and secondary legislation underpinning this duty. A copy of the consultation document is attached as **Appendix A**.

(3) In order to obtain Member input into this response, the cross party IMG which met in January 2008 to contribute to a draft response to the Department of Communities and Local Governments paper on "Local Petitions and Calls for Action" has been reconvened. The Membership of this IMG is Mr M Angell (Chairman), Mrs Allen, Mr T Dean and Mrs Stockell Mr Christie has been invited to replace Mr R Parker on the IMG. The Deputy Leader will submit the final response on behalf of the County Council

2. Scope of Consultation

(1) The consultation asks if the guidance adequately explains the key principles and requirements of the duty and is clear and easily understood. It also seeks views on matters to be excluded, suitable timescales for implementation, contains a model petition scheme and invites comments.

3. Summary of the guidance

The petition scheme

(1) Under the Petition Scheme, councils will be required to respond to petitions and tell people what action is going to be taken. The petition scheme, based on the model in the guidance, must be approved by a meeting of the full County Council before it comes into force. The model scheme requires that all petitions, regardless of the number of signatures will receive a response provided they meet the scheme criteria.

Thresholds

(2) The 2009 Act requires that all petitions with a specific level of support will trigger a debate at County Council. Petitions with a specific level of support that call a senior officer to account will trigger a requirement for an officer to give evidence at a meeting of a Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

(3) Thresholds to trigger the requirements in the paragraph 3(2) are to be set by the County Council as part of the petition scheme. The guidance suggests that the threshold should be no higher than 5% of the population and should be locally achievable. It is also possible to set variable thresholds e.g. for matters specific to each of the district areas. Thresholds can be reviewed after a period of operation and the scheme amended by the County Council.

Verification of signatures

(4) Local authorities must take account of signatures of people who provide a valid address where they live, work or study in the area. It is for the County Council to decide what counts as an authentic signature, for example a valid email address or a valid postcode or both.

Relevant matters for a petition

(5) County Councils can respond to petitions which relate to an improvement in the economic, social or environmental well-being of the area and must deal with petitions which relate to the functions of partner authorities, including matters which are sub-regional and cross-authority.

Exclusions

(6) The 2009 Act allows local authorities to exclude vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate petitions. The draft guidance states that it is for the local authority to decide if the petition should be excluded on these grounds and if so the petition should still be acknowledged and an explanation given as to why the local authority will not be responding to it. Guidance is given on what could constitute vexatious or inappropriate.

(7) Matters that it is proposed to exclude from the petition scheme in the draft petitions order (included within the consultation) include petitions for a

mayoral referendum, matters relating to planning or licensing decisions and matters relating to decisions where an alternative right of appeal exists.

6. Recommendation

RECOMMENDED that it be noted that the informal Member Group has been reconvened to draft response to “Listening to Communities: Consultation on the draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions” and that this response be submitted to the Deputy Leader for approval on behalf of the County Council in time for a response to be issued before the deadline of 24 February 2010.

Peter Sass
Tel No: 01622 694002
e-mail: peter.sass@kent.gov.uk

Background Information: None

This page is intentionally left blank

Listening to communities:
Consultation on draft statutory guidance on the duty
to respond to petitions



Listening to communities:
Consultation on draft statutory guidance on the duty
to respond to petitions

Communities and Local Government
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU
Telephone: 030 3444 0000
Website: www.communities.gov.uk

© Crown Copyright, 2009

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

This publication, excluding logos, may be reproduced free of charge in any format or medium for research, private study or for internal circulation within an organisation. This is subject to it being reproduced accurately and not used in a misleading context. The material must be acknowledged as Crown copyright and the title of the publication specified.

Any other use of the contents of this publication would require a copyright licence. Please apply for a Click-Use Licence for core material at www.opsi.gov.uk/click-use/system/online/pLogin.asp, or by writing to the Office of Public Sector Information, Information Policy Team, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 4DU

e-mail: licensing@opsi.gov.uk

If you require this publication in an alternative format please email alternativeformats@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Communities and Local Government Publications
Tel: 0300 123 1124
Fax: 0300 123 1125
Email: product@communities.gsi.gov.uk
Online via the Communities and Local Government website: www.communities.gov.uk

75% recycled
This is printed on
75% recycled paper

December 2009

Reference number: 09CA06127

ISBN: 978-1-4098-1941-7

Ministerial foreword



Over the past decade, there has been a steady and sustained transfer of power to local authorities as part of the Government's drive to improve public services and make them more responsive as well as efficient. Local authorities are firmly at the heart of this shift of power, enabling them to hold key service providers, such as police and health, to account to their local residents.

This transfer of power has confirmed the importance of elected local authorities to the Government. We are clear that the foundation of their importance lies with individual citizens, not with the institutions themselves. The founding principle of local government is that citizens have the right to influence the decisions that affect their lives and their communities. Sometimes they may exercise this right through personalised services and sometimes by influencing local services – for example, by having a direct say over how their neighbourhood is policed. And sometimes it will be through lobbying their council. But a key way in which local citizens are able to exercise that right is their ability to elect a strong local council which can lead and shape their area.

That is why the role of councillors and councils, with their unique democratic mandate, is critical to making sure that local services are responsive to the needs of their local communities. Citizens have a right to have their voices heard, and to expect those delivering services to care what they think.

Through the petitions duty in the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act, we are supporting these principles by ensuring that citizens know how they can voice their concerns with their council and know how these concerns have been taken into account. Through petitions, councils in turn have a valuable opportunity to demonstrate strong local leadership, for example, advocating of behalf of their community with local partners where there are community concerns that services are under-performing.

This consultation on the draft statutory guidance and secondary legislation to support the petitions duty is a further step towards the Government's important aim to strengthen local democracy.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John Denham'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

John Denham
Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government

Contents

About this consultation	9
Draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions	11
Introduction	11
Chapter 1 The petition scheme	13
Summary of requirements	14
Designing a petition scheme	15
Responding to petitions	16
Verification of signatures and acknowledgement	17
Relevant matters	17
Exclusions	17
Vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate	17
Petitions under other enactments	18
Matters excluded by regulation	18
Appropriate steps	19
E-petitions	21
Chapter 2 Petition debates	23
The debate	23
Setting the threshold for debates	24
Exclusions	24
Chapter 3 Giving evidence	25
Existing guidance	25
Setting an appropriate threshold	25
Officers to which Section 16 applies	26
Appropriate officer	26
Giving ‘grounds’	27
Reporting	27
Chapter 4 Petition reviews	28
Triggering a meeting of the full council	29
Publicising the outcome of the review	29
Annex A – Draft model scheme	30
Annex B – Draft petitions order	37
Summary of consultation questions	43

Scope of the consultation

Topic of this consultation:	The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 contains a duty on local authorities to respond to petitions. This consultation is to gather feedback on the draft statutory guidance and secondary legislation underpinning the duty.
Scope of this consultation:	This consultation asks whether the guidance adequately explains the key principles and requirements of the duty, whether it is clear and easily understood with an appropriate level of detail. It also asks views on the matters to be excluded from the duty through the draft order at Annex B and on suitable timescales for implementation. Specific questions can be found at the end of this consultation document.
Geographical scope:	This legislation applies to England and Wales but this consultation, the guidance and the draft order only apply to England.
Impact Assessment:	<p>The petitions duty is considered to have impact only on the public sector and estimated costs fall below the impact assessment threshold.</p> <p>New burdens</p> <p>The proposals set out in this consultation will impose costs on local authorities; they may also deliver savings. In line with the Government's new burdens doctrine, any net additional cost will be fully and properly funded by the Department for Communities and Local Government so that no additional pressure is placed on council tax bills.</p>

Basic information

To:	This consultation seeks the views of principal local authorities, community and third sector organisations and members of the public.
Body/bodies responsible for the consultation:	Community Action Division in Communities and Local Government.
Duration:	This consultation will run for 12 weeks from Wednesday 2 December 2009 until Wednesday 24 February 2010.
Enquiries:	For more information please contact Carl Laughna on 0303 44 42044 or at Carl.Laughna@communities.gsi.gov.uk or Emma Hagan on 0303 44 42010 or at Emma.Hagan@communities.gsi.gov.uk

How to respond:	<p>Please send responses by email to petitions@communities.gsi.gov.uk</p> <p>Or by post to</p> <p>Listening to Communities Consultation Eland House 5/B4 Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU</p> <p>Responses can also be submitted online at www.communities.gov.uk</p>
Additional ways to become involved:	<p>A network of local authority expert practitioners in the field of petitions has been formed. The network has been established to provide advice and support to local authorities on the petitions duty. They will also be facilitating an online forum to discuss the draft guidance which can be found at the IDeA community of practice website: http://www.communities.idea.gov.uk/.</p> <p>Views expressed in these discussions will also be fed into the consultation process.</p>
After the consultation:	<p>We will analyse responses to the consultation and they will be used to inform the final version of the statutory guidance and model scheme which will underpin the petitions duty when it comes into effect.</p> <p>A summary of the responses received will also be published on the Department's website within three months of the close of the consultation.</p>
Compliance with the Code of Practice on Consultation:	<p>This consultation complies with the Government's Code of Practice on Consultation.</p>

Background

Getting to this stage:	<p>The petitions duty was a commitment in the Government's Empowerment white paper <i>Communities in control: Real people, real power</i> and was in response to the <i>Local Petitions and Calls for Action Consultation</i> which ran from December 2007 to March 2008.</p>
Previous engagement:	<p><i>Local Petitions and Calls for Action Consultation</i> which ran from December 2007 to March 2008.</p>

About this consultation

1. This consultation document and consultation process have been planned to adhere to the Code of Practice on Consultation issued by the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills and is in line with the seven consultation criteria, which are:
 - formal consultation should take place at a stage when there is scope to influence the policy outcome
 - consultations should normally last for at least 12 weeks with consideration given to longer timescales where feasible and sensible
 - consultation documents should be clear about the consultation process, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals
 - consultation exercises should be designed to be accessible to, and clearly targeted at, those people the exercise is intended to reach
 - keeping the burden of consultation to a minimum is essential if consultations are to be effective and if consultees' buy-in to the process is to be obtained
 - consultation responses should be analysed carefully and clear feedback should be provided to participants following the consultation
 - officials running consultations should seek guidance in how to run an effective consultation exercise and share what they have learned from the experience
2. Representative groups are asked to give a summary of the people and organisations they represent, and where relevant who else they have consulted in reaching their conclusions when they respond.
3. Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004).
4. If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the department.

5. The Department for Communities and Local Government will process your personal data in accordance with DPA and in the majority of circumstances this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.
6. Individual responses will not be acknowledged unless specifically requested.
7. Your opinions are valuable to us. Thank you for taking the time to read this document and respond.
8. Are you satisfied that this consultation has followed these criteria? If not or you have any other observations about how we can improve the process please contact:

CLG Consultation Co-ordinator
Zone 6/H10
Eland House
London SW1E 5DU

or by e-mail to: consultationcoordinator@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Listening to communities: draft statutory guidance on the duty to respond to petitions

Introduction

Context

1. The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (referred to in this document as 'the 2009 Act') aims to reinvigorate local democracy – putting local authorities at the forefront of the drive to reconnect people with public and political decision-making. This democratic renewal is about restoring trust and confidence in local government and its institutions and its starting point is the citizen.
2. While the Place Survey shows that 80 per cent¹ of people are happy with their area, satisfaction with the way the council runs things is low at 45 per cent. The perception in communities that people can influence decisions that affect their local area is even lower. The duty to respond to petitions is an important step towards addressing this.
3. Signing a petition is one way for citizens to express their concerns and priorities to their local authority and the Citizenship Survey shows that petitions are the most popular and recognised form of civic action². Some local authorities already have well developed processes for responding to petitions and approach them as an opportunity to listen to the community and demonstrate strong local leadership.
4. However, this is not the case across the board. Communities and Local Government examined all English local authority websites in April 2008, and found that only one in five councils make details about how to submit a petition publicly available. In a climate where only 39³ per cent of people feel they can influence decisions in their local area and in some areas only 48⁴ per cent feel that their council keeps them well informed about the services it provides, it is essential that we ensure people can easily find out how to send their views about public services to local decision makers. If not, people will continue to feel that their views are not welcome, and will be discouraged from trying to get involved in local decisions in the future.

¹ Place Survey: England – Headline Results 2008 (Revised), <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/corporate/statistics/placesurvey2008>

² 60 per cent of those people who engaged in an act of civic participation in 2007-2008 signed a petition, Citizenship Survey, 2007-2008

³ Citizenship Survey April to December 2007

⁴ Place Survey

5. The petitions provisions in the 2009 Act, combined with the duty to promote democracy also in that Act, are a significant step towards addressing this situation.

Guidance

6. The draft statutory guidance to which local authorities will be required to pay regard can be found on pages 13 – 36. This part of the document sets out the key principles and key requirements of the petitions duty. It gives guidance to which local authorities⁵ must have regard when designing and complying with their petition scheme and information about the role of overview and scrutiny committees under these requirements. It also contains, at Annex A, a model scheme which local authorities may choose to adopt or to adapt to local circumstances. This guidance does not replicate the provisions set out in the legislation and should be read in conjunction with Sections 10 – 22 of the 2009 Act and the Local Authorities (Petitions)(England) Order2009 (see draft order at Annex B).

⁵ The petitions duty applies to principal local authorities, defined for the purpose of Chapter 2 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction 2009 Act as a) a county council in England; b) a district council in England; c) a London borough council; d) the Common Council of the City of London in its capacity as a local authority; e) the Council of the Isles of Scilly; f) a county or county borough council in Wales. However, this guidance applies only to principal local authorities in England.

Chapter 1

The petition scheme

Key principles:

- ensuring that local people know how to express their views
- local authorities will take action to respond to petitions
- local people know that their views have been listened to
- keeping prescribed requirements on councils to a minimum, and
- building on local authority best practice

Key outcome:

Everyone, no matter where they live, will be easily able to find information about how to petition their local authority and they will know what to expect from their local authority in response.

Overview

7. The petitions duty in the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 means that for the first time councils will be required to respond to petitions and tell local people what action is going to be taken to address their concerns. Petitions can no longer be ignored because they raise a difficult or challenging issue in the local area.
8. Government believes that local authorities should approach their petition scheme from the starting point of responding to all the petitions they receive. Petitions are an important tool for local people to raise concerns with their locally elected representatives and we expect petitions to trigger action where appropriate. This guidance includes examples of the responses local authorities should consider in four key areas, under-performing schools, alcohol related crime and disorder, under-performing health services and anti-social behaviour.
9. The model scheme at Annex A demonstrates these principles by setting out that all petitions, regardless of the number of signatures, will receive a response providing they follow the guidelines set out in the scheme. The model scheme also gives details about the types of action the 'model' local authority will take in response to petitions on key areas of concern.

Summary of requirements

10. The Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 requires all principal local authorities in England to establish a scheme for handling petitions made to the authority.
11. The scheme:
 - must be approved by a meeting of the full council before it comes into force
 - must be published on the principal local authority's website and by any other method appropriate for bringing it to the attention of those who live, work or study in its area
 - can be revised at any time but the revised scheme must be approved and publicised as detailed above
 - the authority must comply with its petition scheme
12. The 2009 Act requires petition schemes to meet some minimum standards in order to ensure minimum entitlements which all citizens can expect. Beyond this small number of requirements local authorities have a high level of flexibility about how they approach the duty – leaving a lot of scope for local determination.
13. The requirements are:
 - anyone who lives, works or studies in the local authority area, including under 18's, can sign or organise a petition and trigger a response
 - a facility for making electronic petitions is provided by the local authority
 - petitions must be acknowledged within a time period specified by the local authority
 - among the many possible steps that the principal local authority may choose to take in response to a petition, the following steps must be included in the scheme:
 - taking the action requested in the petition
 - considering the petition at a meeting of the authority
 - holding an inquiry
 - holding a public meeting
 - commissioning research
 - a written response to the petition organiser setting out the authority's views on the request in the petition
 - referring the petition to an overview and scrutiny committee

- petitions with a significant level of support trigger a debate of the full council. Councils will determine this threshold locally but it must be no higher than 5 per cent of the local population (see Chapter 2)
 - petitions with a requisite level of support, set by the local authority, trigger a senior local government officer to give evidence at a meeting of the authority's overview and scrutiny committee (see Chapter 3)
 - petition organisers⁶ can prompt a review of the local authority's response if the response is felt to be inadequate (see Chapter 4)
14. The requirements listed above are the minimum set by the 2009 Act. Local authorities are encouraged to consider designing a scheme which is wider than these requirements, for example, responding to petitions from those who do not live, work or study in the local area or e-petitions which are not made through the authority's own e-petition facility.
15. Section 18 of the 2009 Act clarifies that local authorities can include other information which they consider to be appropriate in their petition scheme. For example, details about how they handle petitions which do not qualify under the scheme or which apply to the functions of another principal local authority, particularly in areas with two tiers of local government.
16. Once published the local authority must comply with its petition scheme but can revise it at any time by taking the steps set out in Section 11 of the 2009 Act.

Designing a petition scheme

17. Principal local authorities, when designing their petition scheme, are expected to
- take into account local circumstances to ensure that the scheme is locally appropriate; for example, *the same thresholds set in a densely populated urban area may not be suitable for a rural authority*
 - ensure that the scheme is accessible to all; for example, *an authority could decide to promote its petition scheme as part of its responsibility to promote understanding of the council under the requirements of the duty to promote democracy, the e-petitions facility is compliant with web accessibility standards*
 - ensure that the process is easy for citizens to use; for example, *that the scheme sets thresholds which are achievable for petitions on very local, as well as authority wide, concerns, no previous knowledge of council procedure is needed in order to submit a petition, the scheme is written in Plain English, people know what they have to do in order to receive a response*

⁶ "petition organiser", in relation to a petition made to a principal local authority, means—

(a) the person designated in the petition as the person with whom the authority may deal in relation to the petition, or
 (b) such other person as agrees with the authority to be the person with whom the authority may deal in relation to the petition;

18. Government expects any thresholds which local authorities decide to set to be locally achievable. We expect that where practical local authorities will set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A. The model scheme takes the approach already used by some local authorities of setting no threshold for triggering a response to a petition. This means the 'model' local authority responds to all the petitions it receives, providing that the petition follows the guidelines set out in the scheme i.e. the petition is not discriminatory or about an excluded matter such as a planning decision.
19. Local authorities should also consider whether variable thresholds would be appropriate to their local circumstances. For example, top tier authorities might consider what appropriate thresholds might be set for matters specific to each of their constituent district areas. Government expects local authorities' petition schemes to ensure that petitions on very local issues, such as anti-social behaviour in a particular street, will receive a response from the council. Setting no threshold, or thresholds which vary depending on the issue or geographic location affected might be one method of achieving this.
20. Thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. Should it become apparent that a principal local authority, or authorities, are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct the principal local authority, or authorities, to amend their petition scheme.
21. The model petition scheme at Annex A can be used by local authorities as a starting point and guide as to how a scheme might operate. Principal local authorities may choose to adopt this scheme as a whole or amend it to reflect local circumstances.

Responding to petitions

22. As a minimum, a local authority's petition scheme must apply if a petition:
 - calls for the authority to take action
 - is signed by the requisite number of people who live, work or study in the local area
 - is made under another enactment but does not qualify under that enactment (see paragraph 33)
 - If made electronically, is made through the authority's e-petition facility

Verification of signatures and acknowledgement

23. Local authorities can choose to verify the signatures given on a petition should they wish. Authorities must take account of the signatures of people who provide valid addresses where they live, work or study within the local authority area; but authorities may take account of signatures of people who do not supply such information, or supply information which shows that they do not live, work or study in the area.
24. In the case of e-petitions the local authority must decide what counts as an authentic signature, for example it might decide that a valid email address is sufficient, a valid postcode or both.
25. All petitions which meet the scheme criteria (see Section 12(1) of the 2009 Act) must be acknowledged within the period specified in the authority's scheme.

Relevant matters

26. Petitions are a valuable opportunity for local authorities to demonstrate strong leadership on issues of concern to the community. As community leaders and place shapers local authorities have a key interest in issues which, although wider than their functions, affect the local area. In view of this, and their role in the local area agreement process, Section 14(2)(b)(ii) of the 2009 Act requires top tier authorities to respond to petitions which relate to an improvement in the economic, social or environmental well-being of the authority's area to which any of its partner authorities could contribute. This means that these local authorities must deal with petitions which relate to the functions of partner authorities as well as petitions which relate to their own functions, including petitions on matters which are sub-regional and cross-authority.
27. In practice, this may mean acting as an advocate for the local community, working with partners to resolve the issue, lobbying a partner organisation on behalf of the community or instigating an overview and scrutiny review of the issue.
28. Should a petition of this sort call for something which goes against council policy a principal local authority may choose to say 'no' to the request. However, to ensure the step taken in response to the petition is substantive a local authority must clearly explain their position in their response.

Exclusions

Vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate

29. Local authorities should approach the petitions they receive positively and not assume that the motivation is one which is vexatious, abusive or inappropriate. However, petitions, which are in the opinion of the authority vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate, do not qualify under the Section 14 requirement to take steps in response to the petition. Principal local authorities must acknowledge these

petitions, as set out in Section 13 of the 2009 Act, and this acknowledgement should explain why the authority will not be taking action.

30. When considering whether a petition is vexatious a principal local authority should use as a starting point the guidance under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Guidance to the Act states that *“Deciding whether a [Freedom of Information] request is vexatious is a flexible balancing exercise, taking into account all the circumstances of the case. There is no rigid test or definition, and it will often be easy to recognise. The key question is whether the request is likely to cause distress, disruption or irritation, without any proper or justified cause”*⁷. In most circumstances it should be the subject matter of the petition, rather than the personality of the petitioners or the manner in which the issue is supported, that is the deciding factor.
31. It is important that petitions which are abusive or otherwise inappropriate are also identified at this stage. Particular care must be taken when considering petitions which call for an officer to give evidence under Section 16 of the 2009 Act that these petitions relate to the role of the individual in delivering public services and not matters relating to an officer’s personality or private life.
32. The types of petitions which local authorities may consider inappropriate include those relating to matters which are part of ongoing legal proceedings or which target individual members of a community. However, the decision on what constitutes an inappropriate petition is ultimately for the local authority to decide considering the circumstances of the individual case.

Petitions under other enactments

33. Petitions made under other enactments, such as petitions under the Local Government Act 2000 asking for referendum on whether the area should have an elected mayor, should be dealt with according to the procedures set out in those enactments. If such a petition fails to meet the requirements of the enactment in question, for example a petition under the 2000 Act does not achieve the requisite number of signatures, it should be addressed through a local authority’s petition scheme in exactly the same manner as any other petition.

Matters excluded by order

34. In order not to duplicate procedures where established processes exist for communities to have their say Government has excluded the following matters from the scope of the petitions duty (see Annex B for draft order):
 - any matter relating to a planning decision, including about a development plan document or the community infrastructure levy

⁷ http://www.ico.gov.uk/upload/documents/library/freedom_of_information/detailed_specialist_guides/awareness_guidance_22_vexatious_and_repeated_requests_final.pdf

- any matter relating to a licensing decision, including licensing applications under the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005
 - any matter relating to an individual or entity in respect of which that individual or entity has a right of recourse to a review or right of appeal conferred by or under any enactment
35. However, failure to deliver services in these areas remain within the scope of the duty. For example, a petition on an individual planning application is excluded from the duty but a petition about the local authority's failure to deliver an effective service for planning applications would be within scope.
36. When in receipt of a petition on an excluded matter a principal local authority should acknowledge receipt of the petition and explain why the matter is not covered by the authority's petition scheme. If the petition can be taken into account as part of existing procedures, the authority should explain how this will happen. If the petition cannot be taken into account as part of these procedures a principal local authority should explain the existing arrangements for communities to have their say as part of the process in question.

Appropriate steps

37. Principal local authorities are required to take appropriate steps when responding to the petitions they receive. A local authority's response should be proportionate to the seriousness of the issue and the level of support contained in the petition. The actions referred to above (see paragraph 13) and in Section 14(6) of the 2009 Act need to be among those considered but should not be regarded as the only options. Government encourages authorities to be innovative when considering their response to petitions, **including considering any courses of action open to them that are specific to the subject of the petition.**
38. Examples of appropriate steps local authorities should consider in response to specific subjects are included in the table below:

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Alcohol related crime and disorder	If a local authority receives a petition about alcohol-related crime or disorder, Government expects them to fully consider with their partners the range of options available to them including considering the case for establishing a designated public place order or, as a last resort, establishing and imposing an alcohol disorder zone covering the relevant area.

<p>Anti-social behaviour (ASB)</p>	<p>As the elected representatives of the local area, and often as social landlord and licensing authority, local councils have a significant role to play in tackling anti-social behaviour. Recently crime and disorder reduction partnerships, of which local authorities are a constituent member, have been challenged to set minimum service standards and publicise these to their local communities by March 2010. The police and many local authorities also have a target to increase public confidence that the police and council are working together to deal with crime and ASB issues that matter most locally. As such, when responding to petitions on ASB, local authorities are expected to consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to them including the wide range of powers and mechanisms they have to intervene as part of these roles. They should, for example, work with the neighbourhood policing team in the affected area to identify what action might be taken, consider identifying a dedicated contact within the council to liaise with the community, Registered Social Landlords and other neighbourhood partners on issues of ASB in the area in question and, where appropriate, alert the crime and disorder reduction partnership and crime and disorder overview and scrutiny committee to the issues highlighted in the petition.</p>
<p>Under-performing schools</p>	<p>Local authorities are expected to consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to them when working with schools to secure their improvement. For example, on behalf of the local authority, the school improvement partner (SIP) will play a pivotal role, challenging and brokering support for poorly performing schools. Where a school is under performing the local authority should consider whether it is appropriate in the circumstances to issue a warning notice outlining expectations and a timeframe for the school to improve its performance standards. Other measures available to local authorities, where schools fail to comply with a warning notice or are in an Ofsted category of notice to improve (requiring significant improvement) or special measures include; appointing additional governors, establishing an interim executive board, removal of the school's delegated budgets, requiring the school to enter into a formal contract or partnership or, only if the school is in special measures, closure.</p>

Under-performing health services	Local authorities are expected to work with local health partners to consider the matter raised in the petition including, where appropriate, exploring what role the Local Involvement Network (LiNk) might have in reviewing and feeding back on the issue. The health overview and scrutiny committee should also be alerted to the petition and where the matter is sufficiently or potentially serious, the issue should be referred to them to consider for review.
----------------------------------	---

39. The local authority must notify the petition organiser of the steps it intends to take and publish this notification on the authority's website.

E-petitions

40. The 2009 Act applies the same requirements to electronic petitions as to paper petitions, except for the following:
- principal local authorities are only required to respond to e-petitions made through their e-petition facility
 - principal local authorities must decide, when a request to host an e-petition is received, whether the petition is appropriate for publishing on their facility
 - principal local authorities will decide what equates to a signature on an e-petition (see paragraph 24 above and also Section 12(3)(b) of the 2009 Act)
 - Principal local authorities are required to provide a facility for people to submit petitions to the authority electronically. In addition to this, local authorities can choose to respond to e-petitions submitted by other means and should indicate in their petition scheme how they will deal with these types of petitions.
41. A local authority's petition scheme must secure that the authority's e-petition facility allows citizens to create a petition which can be published online and made available to others for electronic signature. Government does not consider that mere acceptance of emailed petitions meets this requirement.
42. Local authorities should consider how best to integrate their e-petition process with relevant online information and existing online functions, for example, linking petitions to council meetings or decisions, to the minutes or webcast of the relevant meeting, to online forums and most importantly, to the authority's published response to the petition.
43. When taking the decision whether to host an e-petition principal local authorities should, in addition to following the guidance above on vexatious, abusive and otherwise inappropriate petitions, consider those issues pertinent when publishing

any information of their website. For example, issues such as data protection, libel and the statutory requirement, as a public body, to comply with equalities and anti-discrimination legislation⁸.

44. Under Section 10 (2) of the 2009 Act principal local authorities are required to give reasons should they decide not to host an e-petition. This will allow petitioners an opportunity to amend and resubmit their petition.
45. Further sector led best practice guidance on e-petitions will be available, including practical advice for selecting and setting up an e-petitions facility and a set of recommended data standards.

⁸ Section 33 of the Equality Act 2006 for sets out a list of “equality enactments” covering equalities and anti-discrimination legislation

Chapter 2

Petition debates

Key principle:

Local people know that their views have been listened to and they have the opportunity to hear their local representatives debate their concerns.

Key outcome:

Local people will know that if they can get the number of signatures specified in their council's petitions scheme, they will be guaranteed a public, full council debate on their concerns.

46. A systematic review of evidence on empowerment found that when petitions are linked with decision making there are increased levels of empowerment⁹. Section 15 of the 2009 Act therefore requires that petitions which receive a significant level of support should be debated at a meeting of the full council. Principal local authorities are required to set out in their petition scheme the number of signatures needed to trigger a debate as part of the authority's response. This debate may be added to the agenda of a normal meeting of the full council.
47. Where a petition receives the required level of support to trigger a council debate the council should also consider what other steps they should take in order to ensure their response is adequate (see Chapter 4 – Petition Reviews). A debate alone may not be considered a sufficient response to a petition with this level of support.

The debate

48. The principle behind a petition debate is the increased transparency of the local decision making process. Therefore the petition organiser should be informed in writing about when the debate will be held and with sufficient notice to enable their attendance. This notification should also be published on the authority's website.
49. Petitioners should be offered the option of presenting their petition to the council at the beginning of the debate. Principal local authorities should also consider what other contribution the petitioners might make to the discussion, for example, answering questions put by councillors.

⁹ *Empowering communities to influence local decision making – A systematic review of the evidence*, Communities and Local Government 2009, <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/localgovernment/localdecisionreview>

50. The debate should conclude with a decision being taken by the full council. This could be a decision to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee. In line with the principle that local authorities should listen to the people they represent, and give them feedback, the petition organiser should then receive written notification of this decision. The notification should also be published on the local authority's website.

Setting the threshold for debates

51. Local authorities are required to set a threshold for triggering a full council debate and to include this information in their petition scheme. We recommend where practical, that local authorities set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A, at the outset. These thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. If, for example, no debates were triggered in the space of a year, a local authority should review their threshold and consider lowering it in order to ensure that it is locally achievable.
52. Should it become apparent that a local authority, or authorities, are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct a principal local authority, or authorities, to amend their petition scheme.
53. The draft order at annex B stipulates that the absolute maximum threshold which can be set is 5 per cent¹⁰ of the local population. We expect that in most cases a much lower figure will be considered locally appropriate. The maximum figure should be calculated using the estimate of the population of the area of the authority contained in the Registrar General's population estimates for England and Wales published by the Office of National Statistics. The threshold should be expressed in the scheme as a simple figure so that people know the number of signatures they need to trigger a debate (see model scheme at Annex A for an example).

Exclusions

54. An authority is not required to hold a debate in response to any petition which falls outside the scheme, for example because it is vexatious, or relates to a licensing or planning decision. Petitions calling for evidence from an officer are also excluded from the requirement to hold a debate.

¹⁰ The 5 per cent maximum threshold is a proxy figure, easy for local authorities to calculate, but not directly related to the number of people eligible to sign a petition.

Chapter 3

Giving evidence

Key principle:

Local people know that their views have been listened to.

Key outcome:

Local people have the right to petition for a senior member of council staff to attend a public meeting of the council's overview and scrutiny committee. If enough people sign the petition, a senior officer will have to attend the meeting, answer the committee's questions and explain how they are delivering public services.

55. Principal local authorities' petition schemes must allow for petitions to trigger a senior member of council staff to attend a meeting of the authority's overview and scrutiny committee and answer questions about their work. This builds on existing powers of overview and scrutiny committees who can already require members and officers to attend a meeting of the committee and give evidence. It is based on the principle that local government should be as transparent as possible and that officers are accountable to elected members. It allows members of the local community to make use of petitions to influence the way that this scrutiny takes place.

Existing guidance

56. Guidance¹¹ under the Local Government Act 2000 is already in place to cover the way in which overview and scrutiny committees should conduct themselves when questioning an officer of the local authority – including, for example, considering the appropriate seniority of witnesses to ensure that junior officers are not put under undue pressure, and restricting questions to matters of fact and explanation.

Setting an appropriate threshold

57. Local authorities must consider the detail of how these provisions should work in the broader context of their petition scheme. An authority's petition scheme must specify how many signatures will be needed to require an officer to attend a public hearing.

¹¹ *New council constitutions: guidance to English Authorities*
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/localgovernment/pdf/155181.pdf>

58. Local authorities should consider a suitable threshold according to local circumstances. While local authorities will need to ensure that the level of support is appropriate for the steps triggered, thresholds should be achievable and expressed as a simple figure so that people know the number of signatures needed to trigger this type of response.
59. Again, we recommend where practical, that local authorities set low thresholds, such as those used in the model scheme at Annex A, at the outset. These thresholds can be reviewed after a period of activity and amended if necessary provided the process set out in paragraph 11 and Section 11 of the 2009 Act is followed. If, for example, no such evidence sessions are triggered by petition in the space of a year the local authority should consider the reasons for this including, for example, the level of public awareness of the scheme and whether the threshold set may be too high.
60. Should it become apparent that a local authority, or authorities, are setting requirements which are unachievable, the Secretary of State has the power to direct a principal local authority, or authorities, to amend their petition scheme.

Officers to which section 16 applies

61. Principal local authorities must determine which of their officers are able to be called to account in this way and include these details in their petition scheme. This information should include the names and job titles of the officers in question. In order for petitions to have a meaningful impact petitioners must be able to call on the most senior officers to attend meetings and give evidence. Section 16(5) of the 2009 Act therefore requires that, as a minimum, petition schemes provide that the head of paid service – often known as the chief executive of the authority – and the most senior officers responsible for the delivery of services can be required to provide information on their activities at public meetings of overview and scrutiny committees. Petition schemes should apply to senior officers responsible for delivering council functions and public services and not junior members of staff.

Appropriate officer

62. As now, the final decisions on which officer should attend, and the questions to be asked of him or her, rest with the overview and scrutiny committee. Under Section 16(10) of the 2009 Act overview and scrutiny committees can decide that for the purposes of addressing the concerns raised in a petition it is more appropriate for another officer to be called to attend instead.

Giving 'grounds'

63. It is essential that the scrutiny prompted by petitions is appropriate and fair to the officers involved. They must not be exposed to inappropriate public scrutiny of their private lives, nor to harassment or bullying. To safeguard officers, Section 16 of the 2009 Act stipulates that the 'grounds' given in the petition for attendance at a meeting of the overview and scrutiny committee must relate to their job – it cannot relate to their personal circumstances or character. An officer is not required to attend a meeting of the overview and scrutiny committee to give evidence if the petition calling for the attendance is deemed to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate by the local authority.

Reporting

64. A principal local authority should inform the petition organiser when the overview and scrutiny meeting will take place with sufficient notice to enable them to attend. In any case where the subject of the petition is likely to lead to the discussion of confidential information, and therefore a resolution under the provisions in Part 5A of the Local Government Act 1972 to hold any part of the meeting in private, the reasons and process should be made clear in this notification. This notification should also be published on the local authority's website.
65. After the relevant person has appeared before the overview and scrutiny committee, the committee must make a report or recommendations to the authority (under its existing powers) and send a copy of that report or recommendations to the petition organiser. If appropriate, the report could also be published on the authority's website.

Chapter 4

Petition reviews

Key principle:

That local people know that their views have been listened to.

Key outcome:

Petitioners will be able to appeal to the council's overview and scrutiny committee if they feel the response from their council is not adequate.

66. Section 17 of the 2009 Act is essentially an appeal provision. If a petition organiser is not satisfied with the way an authority has dealt with a petition, this section gives the organiser the power to ask an overview and scrutiny committee to review that authority's response to the petition. The overview and scrutiny committee will decide whether the steps taken by the executive in response to the petition were adequate. Considering petition appeals can help raise public awareness of the important role of overview and scrutiny.
67. The overview and scrutiny committee will bear in mind the list of potential steps which could be used to respond to the petition, listed in Section 14(6) of the 2009 Act. An adequate response is likely to be proportionate to the issue set out in the petition and the level of support the petition has received. High quality responses which take people's concerns seriously should lower the volume of appeals.
68. There may be petition organisers who appeal because the action their petition calls for is rejected, no matter how thorough the council's process for coming to that decision. Appeals of this sort should not be onerous providing the principal local authority keeps records of how they have decided to respond to each petition.
69. If the committee has reason to be concerned about the adequacy of the authority's response it may decide to carry out a full review of the issues raised in the petition using their powers under the Local Government Act 2000.

Triggering a meeting of the full council

70. If the overview and scrutiny committee is very concerned – for instance if the committee thinks that the authority is seriously neglecting its responsibility to listen to local people – under Section 21(3)(b) of the Local Government Act 2000 the committee can arrange for the full council to carry out the review function. That is to say the overview and scrutiny committee can arrange for the authority's response to the petition to be discussed at a meeting of the full council.

Publicising the outcome of the review

71. Under s.17(4)(b) of the 2009 Act the principal local authority must inform the petition organiser of the results of the review and s. 17(4)(c) requires that the results are also published on the authority's website. Local people will therefore be able to see how many petition organisers appeal against their council's response to petitions compared to other councils – and read the response to the appeals. People will therefore be able to judge for themselves how seriously their council is taking community petitions.

Consultation questions – Main guidance:

Question 1:

Does the guidance clearly set out the key principles and requirements of the petitions duty?

Question 2:

Are there any existing areas in the guidance which require further clarification?

Question 3:

Are there any additional areas which you feel this statutory guidance should cover? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be included.

Question 4:

Are there any additional areas which, while not appropriate for statutory guidance, you would like to see covered by sector-led guidance?

Question 5:

Are there any areas covered in this statutory guidance which you feel would be more appropriately covered in sector-led guidance? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be addressed in this way.

Annex A

Draft model scheme

In order to demonstrate how the framework set out in the 2009 Act can translate in practice we have created a model scheme which local authorities can choose to adopt or adapt. The example below is based on an authority with a population of 150,000 and is the public facing information about their petition scheme published on their website. The model authority has chosen to respond to all the petitions it receives. Petitions which contain 1500 signatures will be debated by the full council and petitions which call for evidence from a senior officer, and have 750 signatures, will trigger that response. [‘link’ indicates where the council’s webpage includes a link to related information]

Petitions

The council welcomes petitions and recognises that petitions are one way in which people can let us know their concerns. All petitions sent or presented to the council will receive an acknowledgement from the council within 14 days of receipt. This acknowledgement will set out what we plan to do with the petition.

Paper petitions can be sent to:

[insert address]

Or be created, signed and submitted online by following this link [link]

Petitions can also be presented to a meeting of the council. These meetings take place on a monthly basis, dates and times can be found here [link]. If you would like to present your petition to the council, or would like your councillor to present it on your behalf, please contact [insert name] on [insert phone number] at least 5 working days before the meeting and they will talk you through the process.

What are the guidelines for submitting a petition?

Petitions submitted to the council must include

- a clear and concise statement covering the subject of the petition. It should state what action the petitioners wish the council to take
- the name and address and signature of any person supporting the petition

Petitions should be accompanied by contact details, including an address, for the petition organiser. This is the person we will contact to explain how we will respond to the petition. The contact details of the petition organiser will not be placed on the website. If the petition does not identify a petition organiser, we will contact signatories to the petition to agree who should act as the petition organiser.

Petitions which are considered to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate will not be accepted. If a petition does not follow the guidelines set out above, the council may decide not to do anything further with it. In that case, we will write to you to explain the reasons.

What will the council do when it receives my petition?

An acknowledgement will be sent to the petition organiser within 14 days of receiving the petition. It will let them know what we plan to do with the petition and when they can expect to hear from us again. It will also be published on our website.

If we can do what your petition asks for, the acknowledgement may confirm that we have taken the action requested and the petition will be closed. If the petition has enough signatures to trigger a council debate, or a senior officer giving evidence, then the acknowledgment will confirm this and tell you when and where the meeting will take place. If the petition needs more investigation, we will tell you the steps we plan to take.

If the petition applies to a planning or licensing application, is a statutory petition (for example requesting a referendum on having an elected mayor), or on a matter where there is already an existing right of appeal, such as council tax banding and non-domestic rates, other procedures apply. Further information on all these procedures and how you can express your views is available here [insert links]

We will not take action on any petition which we consider to be vexatious, abusive or otherwise inappropriate and will explain the reasons for this in our acknowledgement of the petition.

To ensure that people know what we are doing in response to the petitions we receive the details of all the petitions submitted to us will be published on our website, except in cases where this would be inappropriate. Whenever possible we will also publish all correspondence relating to the petition (all personal details will be removed). When you sign an e-petition you can elect to receive this information by email. We will not send you anything which is not relevant to the e-petition you have signed, unless you choose to receive other emails from us. [link to account settings]

How will the council respond to petitions?

Our response to a petition will depend on what a petition asks for and how many people have signed it, but may include one or more of the following:

- taking the action requested in the petition
- considering the petition at a council meeting
- holding an inquiry into the matter
- undertaking research into the matter
- holding a public meeting
- holding a consultation
- holding a meeting with petitioners
- referring the petition for consideration by the council’s overview and scrutiny committee*
- calling a referendum
- writing to the petition organiser setting out our views about the request in the petition

*Overview and scrutiny committees are committees of councillors who are responsible for scrutinising the work of the council – in other words, the overview and scrutiny committee has the power to hold the council’s decision makers to account.

In addition to these steps, the council will consider all the specific actions it can potentially take on the issues highlighted in a petition. The table below gives some examples.

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Alcohol related crime and disorder	If your petition is about crime or disorder linked to alcohol consumption, the council will, among other measures, consider the case for placing restrictions on public drinking in the area by establishing a designated public place order or, as a last resort, imposing an alcohol disorder zone. When an alcohol disorder zone is established the licensed premises in the area where alcohol related trouble is being caused are required to contribute to the costs of extra policing in that area. The council’s response to your petition will set out the steps we intend to take and the reasons for taking this approach.

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Anti-social behaviour (ASB)	<p>As the elected representatives of your local area, as social landlord and licensing authority, the council plays a significant role to play in tackling anti-social behaviour. The council, in conjunction with our partners in the local crime and disorder partnership have set out minimum service standards for responding to issues of anti-social behaviour, you can find more details about these standards here [insert link].</p> <p>When responding to petitions on ASB, we will consider in consultation with our local partners, all the options available to us including the wide range of powers and mechanisms we have to intervene as part of our role as social landlord and licensing authority. For example, we will work with the neighbourhood policing team in the affected area to identify what action might be taken, consider identifying a dedicated contact within the council to liaise with the community and neighbourhood partners on issues of ASB in the area in question and, where appropriate, we will alert the crime and disorder reduction partnership and crime and disorder overview and scrutiny committee to the issues highlighted in the petition.</p>
Under-performing schools	<p>We will consider, in consultation with local partners, all the options available to us when working with schools to secure their improvement. For example, on our behalf, the school improvement partner (SIP) will play a pivotal role, challenging and brokering support for poorly performing schools. Where a school is under performing we will consider whether it is appropriate in the circumstances to issue a warning notice outlining expectations and a timeframe for the school to improve its performance standards. Other measures available to us, where schools fail to comply with a warning notice or are in an Ofsted category of notice to improve (requiring significant improvement) or special measures including; appointing additional governors, establishing an interim executive board, removal of the school's delegated budgets, requiring the school to enter into a formal contract or partnership or, only if the school is in special measures, closure.</p>

Petition subject	Appropriate steps
Under-performing health services	We will work with local health partners to consider the matter raised in the petition including, where appropriate, exploring what role the Local Involvement Network (LiNK) might have in reviewing and feeding back on the issue (the LiNK is run by local individuals and community groups and independently supported – their role to find out what people want in terms of local health services, monitor those services and to use their powers to hold them to account). The health overview and scrutiny committee will also be alerted to the petition and where the matter is sufficiently or potentially serious, the issue will be referred to them to consider for review.

If your petition is about something over which the council has no direct control (for example the local railway or hospital) we will aim to make representations on behalf of the community to the relevant body. The council works with a large number of local partners [link to list of LAA partners] and where possible will work with these partners to respond to your petition. If we are not able to do this for any reason (for example if what the petition calls for conflicts with council policy), then we will set out the reasons for this to you. You can find more information on the services for which the council is responsible here [link].

If your petition is about something that a different council is responsible for we will give consideration to what the best method is for responding to it. It might consist of simply forwarding the petition to the other council, but could involve other steps. In any event we will always notify you of the action we have taken.

Full council debates

If a petition contains more than 1,500 signatures it will be debated by the full council unless it is a petition asking for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting. This means that the issue raised in the petition will be discussed at a meeting which all councillors can attend. The petition organiser will be given five minutes to present the petition at the meeting and the petition will then be discussed by councillors for a maximum of 15 minutes. The council will decide how to respond to the petition at this meeting. They may decide to take the action the petition requests, not to take the action requested for reasons put forward in the debate, or to commission further investigation into the matter, for example by a relevant committee. The petition organiser will receive written confirmation of this decision. This confirmation will also be published on our website.

Officer evidence

Your petition may ask for a senior council officer to give evidence at a public meeting about something for which the officer is responsible as part of their job. For example, your petition may ask a senior council officer to explain progress on an issue, or to explain the advice given to elected members to enable them to make a particular decision.

If your petition contains at least 750 signatures, the relevant senior officer will give evidence at a public meeting of the council's overview and scrutiny committee. A list of the senior staff that can be called to give evidence can be found here [insert link]. You should be aware that the overview and scrutiny committee may decide that it would be more appropriate for another officer to give evidence instead of any officer named in the petition – for instance if the named officer has changed jobs. Committee members will ask the questions at this meeting, but you will be able to suggest questions to the chair of the committee by contacting [insert details] up to three working days before the meeting.

E-petitions

The council welcomes e-petitions which are created and submitted through our website [link]. E-petitions must follow the same guidelines as paper petitions [link to guidelines]. The petition organiser will need to provide us with their name, postal address and email address. You will also need to decide how long you would like your petition to be open for signatures. Most petitions run for six months, but you can choose a shorter or longer timeframe, up to a maximum of 12 months.

When you create an e-petition, it may take five working days before it is published online. This is because we have to check that the content of your petition is suitable before it is made available for signature.

If we feel we cannot publish your petition for some reason, we will contact you within this time to explain. You will be able to change and resubmit your petition if you wish. If you do not do this within 14 days, a summary of the petition and the reason why it has not been accepted will be published under the 'rejected petitions' section of the website.

When an e-petition has closed for signature, it will automatically be submitted to [insert details]. In the same way as a paper petition, you will receive an acknowledgement within 14 days. If you would like to present your e-petition to a meeting of the council, please contact [insert details] (details above) within five days of the petition closing.

A petition acknowledgement and response will be emailed to everyone who has signed the e-petition and elected to receive this information. The acknowledgment and response will also be published on this website.

How do I 'sign' an e-petition?

You can see all the e-petitions currently available for signature here [insert link].

When you sign an e-petition you will be asked to provide your name, your postcode and a valid email address. When you have submitted this information you will be sent an email to the email address you have provided. This email will include a link which you must click on in order to confirm the email address is valid. Once this step is complete your 'signature' will be added to the petition. People visiting the e-petition will be able to see your name in the list of those who have signed it but your contact details will not be visible.

What can I do if I feel my petition has not been dealt with properly?

If you feel that we have not dealt with your petition properly, the petition organiser has the right to request that the council's overview and scrutiny committee review the steps that the council has taken in response to your petition.

The committee will consider your request within 30 days of receiving it. Should the committee determine we have not dealt with your petition adequately, it may use any of its powers to deal with the matter. These powers include instigating an investigation, making recommendations to the council executive and arranging for the matter to be considered at a meeting of the full council.

Once the appeal has been considered the petition organiser will be informed of the results within seven days. The results of the review will also be published on our website.

Consultation questions – Model scheme:

Question 6:

Do you think the model scheme is clearly expressed and easy for people to use? Please explain your reasons.

Question 7:

Do you think the standards set out in the model scheme are achievable and appropriate to citizens' expectations?

Question 8:

Do you think there is anything that should be added to the model scheme?

Annex B

Draft petitions order

STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

2009 No.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENGLAND

The Local Authorities (Petitions) (England) Order 2009

Made - - - - - ***
Laid before Parliament ***
Coming into force - - - 1st April 2010

The Secretary of State, in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 14(4) and 19(1) and (3) of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009(a), makes the following Order:

Citation, commencement, application and interpretation

1.—(1) This Order may be cited as the Local Authorities (Petitions) (England) Order 2009 and shall come into force on 1st April 2010.

(2) In this Order—

“the Act” means the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009;

“licensing decision” means—

(a) any decision in relation to—

- (i) an application for the grant, variation or review of any authorisation under Part 3 or 4 of the Licensing Act 2003 (premises licences and club premises certificates)(b), or
- (ii) a hearing or appeal in respect of any such application;

(b) any decision in relation to—

- (i) an application for the grant, renewal or transfer of a licence under Schedule 3 to the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 (control of sex establishments)(c), or
- (ii) a request for the variation of any term, condition or restriction contained in such a licence, or
- (iii) the revocation of such a licence;

(c) any decision in relation to—

- (i) an application for a licence, permit or registration under the Gambling Act 2005(d), or
- (ii) a request for the variation of any term, condition or restriction associated with any such licence, permit or registration, or
- (iii) the revocation of any such licence, permit or registration, and

(a) 2009 c. 20 As to “the appropriate national authority”, in relation to English authorities, see the definition in section 22(1).
(b) 2003 c. 17. See the definition of “authorisation” in section 2(4).
(c) 1982 c. 30.
(d) 2005. c. 19.

(d) any enforcement decision made under any of the Acts mentioned in sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) above, or subordinate legislation made under those Acts.

“the Planning Acts” means the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (a), the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990(b), the Planning (Hazardous Substances) Act 1990(c) and the Planning Act 2008(d);

“planning decision” means—

- (a) any decision on an application under the Planning Acts or subordinate legislation made under any of those Acts for any agreement, approval, consent or permission, or
- (b) any enforcement decision relating to any development within the meaning of those Acts(e), or
- (c) any decision in relation to the preparation, revision or adoption of a development plan document within the meaning of section 37(3) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004(f) or Community Infrastructure Levy charging schedule within the meaning of section 211(1) of the Planning Act 2008; and

“right of recourse to a review” does not include any right to make a complaint to the Commission for Local Administration pursuant to Part 3 of the Local Government Act 1974(g).

Excluded matters

2. Subject to article 3, for the purposes of subsection (1)(a) of section 14 of the Act (requirement to take steps), the following matters are not to be regarded as relating to a function of a principal local authority—

- (a) any matter relating to a planning decision;
- (b) any matter relating to a licensing decision;
- (c) any other matter relating to an individual or entity in respect of which that individual or entity has a right of recourse to a review or right of appeal conferred by or under any enactment;

Systematic failure in discharge of functions not an excluded matter

3. A matter does not fall within article 2 if it consists of an allegation that a function for which the authority is responsible has not been discharged at all or that its discharge has failed or is failing on a systematic basis, notwithstanding the fact that the allegation specifies or refers to a planning decision, a licensing decision or a matter of the description referred to in paragraph (c) of that article.

Specified number for petition requiring debate

4.—(1) The number to be specified by an authority pursuant to section 15(4) of the Act must be no greater than 5% of the total local authority population.

(2) For the purposes of paragraph (1) “total local authority population” means the estimate of the population of the area of the authority contained in the Registrar General’s population estimates for England and Wales published by the Office of National Statistics.

(a) 1990 c. 8.

(b) 1990 c.9.

(c) 1990 c.10.

(d) 2008 c. 29.

(e) “Development” is defined in section 55 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and is used with the same meaning in the other Planning Acts, with some modification, in the case of the Planning Act 2008, where the definition is subject to sections 32(2) and (3) of that Act.

(f) 2004 c. 5 Section 37(2) was amended by section 180(1) and (6)(a) of the Planning Act 2008 (c.29).

(g) 1974 c. 7.

Signed by authority of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government

Date

Name
Minister of State
Department for Communities and Local Government

EXPLANATORY NOTE

(This note is not part of the Order)

This Order specifies, for England, matters that are not to be relevant matters for the purposes of section 14(1) of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009. Consequently, the petition schemes of principal local authorities in England are not required to make provision requiring the authority to take steps in response to petitions dealing with those matters.

Article 2 of the Order provides that a petition relating to a licensing decision or a planning decision (both defined in article 1(3)); or a matter relating to an individual or entity where there is already a statutory right to a review or appeal (other than the right to complain to the Commission for Local Administration – commonly known as the Local Government Ombudsman) are not relevant matters.

Article 3 provides that a petition which calls for action in respect of an allegation of systematic failure of an authority to discharge a function for which the authority is responsible is a relevant matter, notwithstanding that the petition specifies matters which would otherwise be excluded by virtue of article 2.

Article 4 specifies the maximum number of signatures that authorities may include in their petition schemes as being required to trigger a debate of the full council. That figure is 5% of the local population as estimated by the Office of National Statistics.

Consultation questions – Draft order:

It is our intention to ensure that the petitions duty enables people to express their views on issues of local concern and to know that their views have been listened to. It is also our intention to ensure there is a balance between this aim and the requirements placed on local authorities by the duty. On this basis ministers have set out the Government's intention to exclude from the duty matters for which there are already established processes in place for people to have their say. The aim of the draft order at Annex B is to achieve this intention, however we are aware that there may be other matters which we should consider excluding for other reasons. We would therefore value your views on the following:

Question 9:

Do you agree with the categories we have excluded in the order? If you do not agree with the categories please explain why you do not think they should be excluded.

Question 10:

Do you think there should be additional categories excluded? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be excluded.

Summary of consultation questions

This guidance aims to:

- set out the key principles and key requirements of the petitions duty
- set a framework for local authorities to use when designing and complying with their petitions scheme
- allow for significant local determination

This guidance does not replicate the provisions set out in the legislation and should be read in conjunction with Sections 10 – 22 of the 2009 Act and the Local Authorities (Petitions) (England) Order 2009 (see draft order at Annex B). Sector led guidance will provide more detailed practical advice and support on implementing the petitions duty.

The purpose of this public consultation is to seek your views on whether this guidance meets its aims. If you think improvements could be made please give specific examples in response to the questions set out below.

Main guidance

Question 1:

Does the guidance clearly set out the key principles and requirements of the petitions duty?

Question 2:

Are there any existing areas in the guidance which require further clarification?

Question 3:

Are there any additional areas which you feel this statutory guidance should cover? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be included.

Question 4:

Are there any additional areas which, while not appropriate for statutory guidance, you would like to see covered by the expert practitioners in their sector-led guidance?

Question 5:

Are there any areas covered in this statutory guidance which you feel would be more appropriately covered by the expert practitioners in their sector-led guidance? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be addressed in this way.

Model scheme

Question 6:

Do you think the model scheme is clearly expressed and easy for people to use? Please explain your reasons.

Question 7:

Do you think the standards set out in the model scheme are achievable and appropriate to citizens' expectations?

Question 8:

Do you think there is anything that should be added to the model scheme?

Draft order

It is our intention to ensure that the petitions duty enables people to express their views on issues of local concern and to know that their views have been listened to. It is also our intention to ensure there is a balance between this aim and the requirements placed on local authorities by the duty. On this basis ministers have set out the Government's intention to exclude from the duty matters for which there are already established processes in place for people to have their say. The aim of the draft order at Annex B is to achieve this intention, however we are aware that there may be other matters which we should consider excluding for other reasons. We would therefore value your views on the following:

Question 9:

Do you agree with the categories we have excluded in the order? If you do not agree with the categories please explain why you do not think they should be excluded.

Question 10:

Do you think there should be additional categories excluded? If so, please state what they are and why you feel they should be excluded.

Additional questions – Next steps

Question 11:

Following on from this consultation, what do you consider the most appropriate timescale for bringing the petitions duty into force? Please explain your reasons.

Question 12:

Initial discussions with both the local government and technology sector indicate that it would be wise to stagger the implementation of the e-petition element of the duty, bringing the e-petition requirements into force 12 months after the other elements of the duty are commenced. Do you agree? Please explain your reasons.



By: Paul Carter, Leader of the Council
 Alex King, Deputy Leader
 Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

To: Selection and Member Services Committee – Wednesday, 27
 January 2010

Subject: KENT CHILDREN’S TRUST

Classification Unrestricted

FOR DECISION

Children’s Trusts

1. Children’s Trusts are organisations that bring together social care, health, police, education authorities and other services to ensure integrated, child-centred approaches to improve outcomes for children, young people and their families. Their establishment arose out of Government policy developed in “Every Child Matters”, the “Children Act 2004” and “The Children’s Plan.” Every Local Authority was expected to introduce a Trust by 2008.

2. The Kent Children’s Trust was established in 2006. It is currently chaired by Rosalind Turner, Managing Director of Children, Families and Education. Its membership on 10 November 2009 is enclosed at Appendix 1. The County Council’s elected representation is given as:-

Mrs S V Hohler
 Mr L B Ridings
 Mr M J Vye
 Mrs E Green

3. Cabinet met on 26 November 2007 and “agreed to extend the membership of the Kent Children’s Trust County Board to include one representative from each main opposition party in addition to the Cabinet Member for Children, Families and Education Achievement (CFE) and the Cabinet Member for operations, Resources and Skills (CFE). The elected Member representation has, however, never been ratified by this Committee.

Regularising the position

4. Assuming that this Committee wishes to ratify the current arrangement, it needs only to agree that the County Council’s elected representation should consist of the Cabinet Member for Children, Families and Education; the Deputy Cabinet Member for Children, Families and Education; and one Member to be nominated by each of the Liberal Democrat and Labour Groups.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

5. The Committee is recommended to regularise the appointments to the Kent Children’s Trust County Board as set out in paragraph 4 above.

Peter Sass: Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

Officer Contact: Andrew Tait
Democratic Services Officer
(01622) 694342



Kent Children's Trust County Board

Membership List (as at 10.11.09)

Name and Job Title	Agency
Turner, Rosalind Chair of the Kent Children's Trust, Managing Director, CFE & Director of Children's Services	KCC, CFE
Sutton, Ann Vice Chair of the Kent Children's Trust Chief Executive, Eastern and Coastal Kent PCT	Health
Hohler, Sarah Cabinet Member for Children, Families & Educational Standards CFE Lead Member for Children's Trust Arrangements	KCC, CFE
Anderson, Bill Director: Children's Social Services	KCC, CFE
Barham, Alan Headteacher at Sittingbourne Community College	Schools
Bentley, Victoria Early Years Representative	Pre School Learning Alliance
Bernard, Gordon Chief Executive, Connexions	Connexions
Brown, Graeme Kent Partnership	KCC
Clout, Barry Executive Officer, Kent CAN	Voluntary and Community sector
Eason, Richard VCS Engage Regional Development Manager	Voluntary and Community sector
Faulkner, Jayne Childcare Partnership Manager, Jobcentre Plus	JobCentre Plus
Gamby, Alex Head of Early Years and Childcare Interim Chair of Early Years Childcare and Extended Services	KCC CFE
Genders, Nigel Canterbury Diocese Director of Education	Faith Groups
Gooding, Roland Headteacher at Valence School Chair of Specialist Needs Sub Group	Schools
Goodsell, Lorraine Acting Director Of Commissioning, Child Health Children & Young People's Health	KCC
Green, Elizabeth Labour Party Spokesman	KCC
Grix, Stephen Principal and Chief Executive of Mid Kent College Chair of Kent Strategic Forum & Kent Association of FE Corporations	Higher Education
Harris, Peggy Interim member, Head of Kent Advisory Service	KCC CFE



Name and Job Title	Agency
Hitchin, Richard Welfare Reform Manager, JobCentre Plus	JobCentre Plus
Honey, Amanda Managing Director, Communities Chair of KDAAT and County Youth Justice Board	KCC, CMY
Hughes, David Chief Executive, Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	District Councils
Jerome, Neil representing Matthew Nix (Chief Superintendent) Superintendent, Kent Police	Kent Police
Kersting-Woods, Alexa Education Manager, Kent Fire & Rescue	Kent Fire & Rescue Service
Lawry, Trevor Detective Inspector; Public Protection Unit	Kent Police MADAG
Mills, Oliver Managing Director, Adult Social Services	KCC, CMY
Moss, John Dean of Education CCUC	Higher Education
Nicholls, Peter Director of Development, Voluntary Action West Kent	Voluntary and Community sector
Park, Ian Community Development Social Inclusion Manager, Maidstone Borough Council	District Councils
Peachey, Meradin Chair of Public Health Board Director: Public Health	KCC, PCTs
Porter, Bob Shepway District Council Housing Manager Chair of the East and West Kent Joint Policy Planning Board for Housing	District Councils – Housing
Pye, Jay Headteacher at Loose Junior School Chair of 8-13 Children and Young People's sub Group	Schools
Ratcliff, John Partnership Director – N/E Kent	LSC
Ridings, Leyland Deputy Cabinet Member for Children, Families & Educational Standards CFE Lead Member for Children's Trust Arrangements	KCC, CFE
Samuel, Richard Chief Executive, Thanet District Council Chair of the Integrated Workforce Strategy Group	District Councils
Slaven, Angela Director, Youth Services and KDATT Chair of IYSS/TYS Sub Group	KCC, CMY
Vye, Martin Liberal Democrat Spokesman	KCC
Wainwright, Joanna Director: Commissioning (Specialist Services)	KCC, CFE



Name and Job Title	Agency
Wickham, Andrew Kent Police Authority, Member for ECM Issues	Kent Police Authority
Windibank, Oena Interim Chair of the Kent Safeguarding Children Board Associate Director Children & Families & Deputy Chief Operating Officer	East Kent NHS

Joy Ackroyd

Kent Children's Trust Partnership Manager, KCC, CFE
Joy.ackroyd@kent.gov.uk
01622 696013

James Harman

Kent Children's Trust Development Officer, KCC, CFE
James.harman@kent.gov.uk
01622 694195

Papers to be sent to:

Hazel Carpenter, Jakcie Cooling, Judy Doherty, Alan Dowie, Jeanette Keating, Toni Mason, David Oxlade, Jaime Palmer, Paula Saunders, Trudy Weller, Hilary Williams

Membership details, dates of meetings, agendas and papers are posted on the KCT web pages:
<http://www.clusterweb.org.uk/Children/childrenstrust.cfm>

This page is intentionally left blank

By: Paul Carter, Leader of the Council
 Alex King, Deputy Leader
 Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

To: Selection and Member Services Committee – Wednesday, 27
 January 2010

Subject: APPOINTMENTS TO KENT SAVERS SHADOW BOARD

Classification Unrestricted

FOR DECISION

Kent Savers Credit Union

1. Kent Savers is a credit union. As such it is a democratic financial co-operative which is owned and controlled by its own members. It is run to benefit its members who share “a common bond.”
2. The key objective of Kent Savers will be to promote the financial well being of its members, who live and work in Kent and Medway. To achieve this purpose Kent Savers will be committed to providing a broad range of innovative financial programmes supported by the service of a dedicated staff. These programmes will be managed in accordance with sound management practices to maintain the financial strength of the credit union.
3. The intention is that Kent Savers will be launched in January 2010. This is subject to authorisation by the Financial Services Authority. The service will be immediately available across the county. The focus of its marketing will be in those areas that have the highest levels of financial exclusion.
4. Kent Savers is supported by the County Council as well as by housing associations, district councils and the Treasury. Kent County Council employees will be offered a payroll deduction service from the launch date onwards.

The Shadow Board

5. Whilst waiting for authorisation from the Financial Services Authority, the responsibility for establishing this credit union rests with a Shadow Board, whose Members are directors of the “Kent and Medway Financial Inclusion Partnership” which is the applicant company. The County Council is entitled to appoint a Director to this body.
6. The Leader has recommended Mr Roland Tolputt as his nomination to the Shadow Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

7. The Committee is recommended to agree the appointment of Mr Roland Tolputt to the Shadow Board (Kent and Medway Financial Inclusion Partnership) of Kent Savers.

Peter Sass: Head of Democratic Services and Local Leadership

Officer Contact: Andrew Tait
Democratic Services Officer
(01622) 694342